# Phys 582 – General Field Theory Problem Set No.1 Solutions

#### Di Zhou

August 29, 2011

## 1 The Landau Theory of Phase Transitions as a Classical Field Theory

1. Due to the free energy density  $\varepsilon$ , the free energy is:

$$F = \int \varepsilon d^3 x = \int \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\nabla \phi(\vec{x})\right)^2 + U\left(\phi(\vec{x})\right)\right) d^3 x \tag{1}$$

To find the saddle point of this free energy, we set the variation of free energy to be zero:

$$\delta F = \int \left(\frac{\delta\varepsilon}{\delta\nabla\phi}\delta\nabla\phi + \frac{\delta\varepsilon}{\delta\phi}\delta\phi\right) d^3x = \int \left(-\nabla\left(\frac{\delta\varepsilon}{\delta\nabla\phi}\right) + \frac{\delta\varepsilon}{\delta\phi}\right)\delta\phi d^3x \quad (2)$$

Here we have used: (1)  $\nabla \delta \phi = \delta \nabla \phi$ ; (2) the variation vanishes at the boundaries. The saddle-point equation is, to let the variation of  $\varepsilon$  to be 0, i.e.,

$$-\nabla\left(\frac{\delta\varepsilon}{\delta\nabla\phi}\right) + \frac{\delta\varepsilon}{\delta\phi} = 0 \Rightarrow -\nabla^2\phi + \frac{\delta U}{\delta\phi} = 0 \tag{3}$$

Plugging in the form of  $U(\phi)$  in this problem, we have:

$$-\nabla^2 \phi + m_0^2 \phi + \frac{\lambda_4}{3!} \phi^3 + \frac{\lambda_6}{5!} \phi^5 = 0$$
(4)

2. The constant field  $\phi$  implies that  $\nabla \phi = 0$ . Eq. (4) reduces into:

$$m_0^2 \phi + \frac{\lambda_4}{3!} \phi^3 + \frac{\lambda_6}{5!} \phi^5 = 0 \Rightarrow \bar{\phi}^2 = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \bar{\phi}^2 = -10 \frac{\lambda_4}{\lambda_6} \left( 1 \mp \sqrt{1 - \frac{6m_0^2 \lambda_6}{5\lambda_4^2}} \right)$$
(5)

Since  $\lambda_4 < 0, \lambda_6 > 0$  and the field  $\overline{\phi}$  is assumed to be a real field, imaginary  $\overline{\phi}$  is meaningless. This implies that for  $T < T_0$  and  $T > T_0$  the number of meaningful solutions is different. What is more, above  $T_0$  also exists a critical transition temperature  $T^* > T_0$  that, the "nontrivial free energy" is lower than "trivial free energy":  $F(\bar{\phi} \neq 0) < F(\bar{\phi} = 0)$ . The system tends to be in the lower free energy state, equavalently speaking, the symmetry breaking state. The critical temperature can be determined by  $F(\bar{\phi}_c \neq 0) = F(\bar{\phi} = 0)$ . Therefore we need to consider the corresponding free energy density:

$$\varepsilon \left( \bar{\phi}_c \neq 0 \right) = \varepsilon (\bar{\phi} = 0) \Rightarrow \left( m_0^2 + \frac{\lambda_4}{12} \bar{\phi}_c^2 + \frac{\lambda_6}{360} \bar{\phi}_c^4 \right) \bar{\phi}_c^2 = 0 \tag{6}$$

 $\phi_c^2 \neq 0$  due to  $T^* > T_0 \Rightarrow m_0^2 > 0$ , we can solve the above equation as:

$$m_0^2 + \frac{\lambda_4}{12}\bar{\phi}_c^2 + \frac{\lambda_6}{360}\bar{\phi}_c^4 = 0 \Rightarrow \bar{\phi}_c^2 = 180\left(-\frac{\lambda_4}{12\lambda_6} \pm \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_4^2}{144\lambda_6^2} - \frac{m_0^2}{90\lambda_6}}\right)$$
(7)

On the other hand, recall the nontrivial solution in Eq.(5), we have:

$$\bar{\phi}_{c}^{2} = 180 \left( -\frac{\lambda_{4}}{12\lambda_{6}} \pm \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_{4}^{2}}{144\lambda_{6}^{2}} - \frac{m_{0}^{2}}{90\lambda_{6}}} \right) = -10 \frac{\lambda_{4}}{\lambda_{6}} \left( 1 \mp \sqrt{1 - \frac{6m_{0}^{2}\lambda_{6}}{5\lambda_{4}^{2}}} \right) \quad (8)$$

Let us denote  $\frac{m_0^2 \lambda_6}{\lambda_4^2} = x$  and NOTE: the above equation corresponds to 4 equations!

$$\frac{1}{2} \pm \sqrt{\frac{9}{4} - \frac{18}{5}x} = \pm \sqrt{1 - \frac{6}{5}x} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{2} \pm \sqrt{\frac{9}{4} - \frac{18}{5}x} = \mp \sqrt{1 - \frac{6}{5}x} \quad (9)$$

Although there are 4 equations, the Physical solution (i.e., real field solution and lower free energy requirements) is only one:

$$x = \frac{5}{8} \Rightarrow T^* = \frac{5}{8} \frac{\lambda_4^2}{a\lambda_6} + T_0 \tag{10}$$

At the transition temperature  $T = T^* + \epsilon \rightarrow T = T^* - \epsilon$ , the mean-field solution switches from  $\bar{\phi}^2 = 0 \rightarrow \bar{\phi}^2 = -\frac{15\lambda_4}{\lambda_6}$ : this is the incontinuous phase transition, the first-order phase transition.

Up to now, we still havn't decided which  $\bar{\phi}^2$  of the two solutions in Eq.(5) should be the real ground state. In the two states, the mean-field free energy is given by:

$$\varepsilon = \frac{-\lambda_4^3}{\lambda_6^2} \left( \frac{5}{9} + \frac{5}{3} \frac{m_0^2 \lambda_6}{\lambda_4^2} \mp \frac{5}{9} \sqrt{1 - \frac{6m_0^2 \lambda_6}{5\lambda_4^2}} \right) \text{for} \bar{\phi}^2 = \frac{-10\lambda_4}{\lambda_6} \left( 1 \mp \sqrt{1 - \frac{6m_0^2 \lambda_6}{5\lambda_4^2}} \right) \tag{11}$$

Of course the minus-sign free-energy is smaller, which corresponds to the meanfield solution also with the minus sign. The plot for  $U(\bar{\phi})$  to  $\bar{\phi}$  is from fig.1 to fig.6.

3. Now the four-point coupling constant  $\lambda_4 > 0$ ,

$$T > T_0, m_0^2 > 0 \Rightarrow \min(\varepsilon) = 0 \quad \text{when} \quad \bar{\phi}^2 = 0$$
$$T < T_0, m_0^2 < 0 \Rightarrow \min(\varepsilon) < 0 \quad \text{when} \quad \bar{\phi}^2 = 10 \frac{\lambda_4}{\lambda_6} \left( -1 + \sqrt{1 - \frac{6m_0^2\lambda_6}{5\lambda_4^2}} \right)$$
(12)

This implies that the transition temperature occurs at temperature  $T = T_0$ . At  $T = T_0$  the mean-field solution is exactly 0. Therefore the order parameter,  $\bar{\phi}$  is continuous. This is the second-order phase transition. (Moreover, you can prove the derivative of order parameter to temperature is discontinuous, of order  $(T - T_0)^{-1}$ ).

4. Recall Eq.(10) we have the expression for  $\lambda_4 < 0$  gives the behavior of the phase boundary as a function of  $T^* - T_0$ , which is the first order phase transition.

$$\lambda_4 = -\sqrt{\frac{8a\lambda_6}{5}\left(T^* - T_0\right)} \tag{13}$$

For  $\lambda_4 > 0$ , the phase boundary always occurs at  $T = T_0$ , and it corresponds to the second order phase transition. The plot for the boundary is fig.9.

### 2 Scalar Electrodynamics

1. For a local transiformation,

$$A'_{\mu}(x) = A_{\mu}(x) + \partial_{\mu}\Lambda(x) \Rightarrow D'_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} + ieA_{\mu} + ie\partial_{\mu}\Lambda$$
(14)

The complex scalar field potential terms are of course invariant:

$$|\phi'(x)|^{2} = \phi'^{*}(x)\phi'(x) = \phi^{*}(x)e^{ie\Lambda(x)}\phi(x)e^{-ie\Lambda(x)} = \phi^{*}(x)\phi(x) = |\phi(x)|^{2} \left(|\phi'(x)|^{2}\right)^{2} = \left(|\phi(x)|^{2}\right)^{2}$$
(15)

The EM field stress tensor transforms like:

$$F^{\prime\mu\nu} = \partial^{\mu}A^{\prime\nu} - \partial^{\nu}A^{\prime\mu} = \partial^{\mu}\left(A^{\nu} + \partial^{\nu}\Lambda\right) - \partial^{\nu}\left(A^{\mu} + \partial^{\mu}\Lambda\right) = F^{\mu\nu}$$
(16)

Finally the scalar-gauge field dynamic term transforms as:

$$D'_{\mu}\phi'(x) = (\partial_{\mu} + ieA_{\mu} + ie\partial_{\mu}\Lambda) \left(\phi(x)e^{-ie\Lambda(x)}\right) = D_{\mu}\phi(x)e^{-ie\Lambda(x)}$$
$$\Rightarrow \left(D'_{\mu}\phi'\right)^{*} \left(D'^{\mu}\phi'\right) = \left(D_{\mu}\phi e^{-ie\Lambda}\right)^{*} \left(D^{\mu}\phi e^{-ie\Lambda}\right) = \left(D_{\mu}\phi\right)^{*} \left(D^{\mu}\phi\right)$$
(17)

In conclusion, the total Lagrangian Density is invariant under gauge transformation.

2. The classical equations of motion comes from the Lagrange Equation,

$$\frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta \phi} - \partial_{\mu} \left( \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta \partial_{\mu} \phi} \right) = 0, \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta \phi^*} - \partial_{\mu} \left( \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta \partial_{\mu} \phi^*} \right) = 0, \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta A_{\nu}} - \partial_{\mu} \left( \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta \partial_{\mu} A_{\nu}} \right) = 0 \quad (18)$$

Solving these three equations, we obtain the more ugly form:

$$-\frac{1}{2}\partial^{2}\phi^{*} + ieA^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\phi^{*} + \frac{1}{2}ie\left(\partial_{\mu}A^{\mu}\right)\phi^{*} + \frac{1}{2}e^{2}A^{2}\phi^{*} - \frac{m^{2}}{2}\phi^{*} - \frac{\lambda}{12}|\phi|^{2}\phi^{*} = 0$$
  
$$-\frac{1}{2}\partial^{2}\phi - ieA^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\phi - \frac{1}{2}ie\left(\partial_{\mu}A^{\mu}\right)\phi + \frac{1}{2}e^{2}A^{2}\phi - \frac{m^{2}}{2}\phi - \frac{\lambda}{12}|\phi|^{2}\phi = 0$$
  
$$\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial^{\nu}\phi\right)^{*}ie\phi - \frac{1}{2}(ie\phi^{*})\partial^{\nu}\phi + e^{2}A^{\nu}\phi^{*}\phi + \partial_{\mu}F^{\mu\nu} = 0$$
(19)

However, due to the newly defined  $D_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} + ieA_{\mu}$  and  $D^{\mu} = \partial^{\mu} + ieA^{\mu}$ , one can expect the simplified version of the above equations by using  $D_{\mu}$ :

$$-\frac{1}{2} (D^{\mu} D_{\mu} \phi)^{*} - \frac{m^{2}}{2} \phi^{*} - \frac{\lambda}{12} |\phi|^{2} \phi^{*} = 0$$
  
$$-\frac{1}{2} D^{\mu} D_{\mu} \phi - \frac{m^{2}}{2} \phi - \frac{\lambda}{12} |\phi|^{2} \phi = 0$$
  
$$\frac{1}{2} ie[\phi (D^{\nu} \phi)^{*} - \phi^{*} (D^{\nu} \phi)] + \partial_{\mu} F^{\mu\nu} = 0$$
(20)

3. The canonical momentum is:

$$\Pi = \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta \partial_0 \phi} \Rightarrow H = \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta \partial_0 \phi} \phi - \mathcal{L}$$
(21)

The scalar field and EM field momentums are:

$$\Pi = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_0 \phi^* - ieA_0 \phi^*) = \frac{1}{2} (D_0 \phi)^*$$
  

$$\Pi^* = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_0 \phi + ieA_0 \phi) = \frac{1}{2} (D_0 \phi)$$
  

$$\Pi^i = F^{i0}$$
(22)

The Hamiltonian Density by definition, is

$$h = \Pi \partial_0 \phi + \Pi^* \partial_0 \phi^* + \Pi^\mu \partial_0 A_\mu - \mathcal{L}$$
  

$$= \frac{1}{2} (D_0 \phi)^* \partial_0 \phi + \frac{1}{2} (D_0 \phi) \partial_0 \phi^* + F^{\mu 0} \partial_0 A_\mu - \mathcal{L}$$
  

$$= (D_0 \phi)^* (D_0 \phi) + \frac{1}{2} (D_0 \phi)^* (-ieA_0) \phi + \frac{1}{2} D_0 \phi (ieA_0) \phi^* + E_i \partial_0 A_i - \mathcal{L}$$
  

$$= \frac{1}{2} (D_0 \phi)^* (D_0 \phi) + \frac{1}{2} (D_i \phi)^* (D_i \phi) + \frac{1}{2} ieA_0 \left[ \phi^* (D_0 \phi) - \phi (D_0 \phi)^* \right]$$
  

$$+ U(\phi) + E_i \partial_0 A_i + \frac{1}{4} F^2$$
(23)

Note from Eq.(19): the Conserved Quantity, Charge, is the special case of that of the third equation of Eq.(19), and note:  $D^0\phi = 2\Pi^*$ :

$$ie(\phi\Pi - \phi^*\Pi^*) + \partial_\mu F^{\mu 0} = 0$$
 (24)

Therefore we have:

$$-\int d^3x \frac{ieA_0}{2} \left(\phi \Pi - \phi^* \Pi^*\right) = \int d^3x A_0 \partial_\mu F^{\mu 0} = -\int d^3x \left(\partial_\mu A_0\right) F^{\mu 0}$$
(25)

Where we have dropped the boundary term. Combining this back into Eq.(23) with the 3rd and 5th terms, we have:

$$\int d^3x \left( \frac{ieA_0}{2} [\phi^* (D^0 \phi) - \phi (D^0 \phi)^*] + F^{\mu 0} \partial_0 A_\mu \right) = \int d^3x (\partial_0 A_\mu - \partial_\mu A_0) F^{\mu 0}$$
$$= \int d^3x F_{0\mu} F^{\mu 0} = \int d^3x E_i^2 (26)$$

Use this conclusion back into Eq.(23), with  $\frac{1}{4}F^2$ , we finally have,

$$H = \int d^3x \left( \frac{1}{2} \Pi^* \Pi + \frac{1}{2} \left( D_i \phi \right)^* \left( D_i \phi \right) + U(\phi) + \frac{1}{2} \left( E^2 + B^2 \right) \right)$$
(27)

This, together with Gauss's Law, Eq.(24), gives the Hamiltonian of the system. Let us have a look at the third equation in Eq.(22),  $\Pi^i$ . In the case of the gauge field, there is no canonical momentum conjugate to  $A_0$  which is why it is a Lagrange multiplier field that enforces a constraint, Gauss' Law. This is why Professor Fradkin suggest me not to use the notation  $\Pi^{\mu}$  but to use  $\Pi^i$  since  $\Pi^0 = 0$ .

4. Using  $\rho, \theta$  instead of  $\phi^*, \phi$ ,

$$D_{\mu}\phi = \left(\partial_{\mu}\rho + i\rho\left(\partial_{\mu}\theta + eA_{\mu}\right)\right)e^{i\theta} \tag{28}$$

From Eq.(19),

$$\partial^{2}\rho - \rho \left(\partial_{\mu}\theta + eA_{\mu}\right)^{2} + m_{0}^{2}\rho + \frac{\lambda}{6}\rho^{3} = 0$$

$$\rho \left(\partial^{2}\theta + e\partial^{\mu}A_{\mu}\right) + \left(\partial^{\mu}\rho\right)\left(2\partial_{\mu}\theta + eA_{\mu}\right) = 0$$

$$e\rho \left(\partial^{\nu}\theta + eA^{\nu}\right) + \partial_{\mu}F^{\mu\nu} = 0$$
(29)

For the London Gauge  $\theta = 0$ , the equations of motions are reduced into:

$$\partial^2 \rho - \rho \left( eA_\mu \right)^2 + m_0^2 \rho + \frac{\lambda}{6} \rho^3 = 0$$
  
$$\rho \partial^\mu A_\mu + A_\mu \partial^\mu \rho = 0$$
  
$$e^2 \rho A^\nu + \partial_\mu F^{\mu\nu} = 0$$
(30)

The Lagrangian Density is,

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}\partial^{\mu}\rho\partial_{\mu}\rho + \frac{1}{2}e^{2}A^{2}\rho^{2} - \frac{1}{2}m_{0}^{2}\rho^{2} - \frac{\lambda}{4!}\rho^{4} - \frac{1}{4}F^{2}$$
(31)

5. If  $m_0^2 < 0$ , then  $-\frac{1}{2}m_0^2 > 0$ . For the case  $\rho = \bar{\rho}$  the effective Lagrangian Density for London Gauge is reduced from Eq.(31) that, we set  $\partial_{\mu}\rho$  to be 0,

$$\mathcal{L} = \left(\frac{1}{2}e^2A^2\rho^2 - \frac{1}{2}m_0^2\rho^2 - \frac{\lambda}{4!}\rho^4\right) - \frac{1}{4}F^2$$
(32)

The equations of motion in Eq.(30) gives the minimization of the Lagrangian Density,

$$\rho = \sqrt{\frac{6}{\lambda} \left( e^2 A^2 + |m_0^2| \right)}, \quad \partial_\mu A^\mu = 0, \quad A_\mu = 0 \Rightarrow \bar{\rho} = \sqrt{\frac{6}{\lambda} |m_0^2|} \tag{33}$$

Fix the classical solution, and plug back into our Lagrangian Density, Eq.(32),

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{3|m_0^2|}{2\lambda} \left( 2e^2 A^2 + |m_0^2| \right) - \frac{1}{4}F^2 = \mathcal{L}_0 + \frac{1}{2}m_{\rm ph}^2 A^2 - \frac{1}{4}F^2$$
(34)

Where  $m_{\rm ph}^2 = \bar{\rho}e^2$ . Now the equations of motion for the fluctuation fields are,

$$\frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta A_{\nu}} - \partial_{\mu} \left( \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta \partial_{\mu} A_{\nu}} \right) = 0 \Rightarrow m_{\rm ph}^2 A^{\nu} + \partial_{\mu} F^{\nu\mu} = 0 \tag{35}$$

From the previous equations of motion that,  $\partial_{\mu}A^{\mu} = 0$  in Eq.(33), we have  $\partial_{\mu}F^{\nu\mu}$  to be,  $\partial_{\mu}(\partial^{\nu}A^{\mu} - \partial^{\mu}A^{\nu}) = -\partial^{2}A^{\nu}$ . Thus Eq.(35) is reduced into:

$$m_{\rm ph}^2 A^\nu - \partial^2 A^\nu = 0 \tag{36}$$

Which is the famous Klein-Gordon equation. Here, the  $m_{\rm ph}^2 = \bar{\rho} e^2$  is the effective photon mass.

#### 3 The Dirac Equation

1. Two ways to approach this problem. First is to find the algebra properties of  $\gamma^{\mu}$  matrices:

$$(\gamma^0)^+ = \gamma^0, \quad (\gamma^{1,2,3})^+ = -\gamma^{1,2,3}$$
(37)

With these relations the Dirac Equation  $(i\partial - m)\psi = 0$  transforms as:

$$\gamma^{0} \left[ \left( i\partial - m \right) \psi \right]^{+} = 0 \Rightarrow \gamma^{0} \psi^{+} \left[ -i \left( \overleftarrow{\partial} \right)^{+} - m \right] = 0$$
(38)

Since,

$$(\partial_0)^+ = \partial_0 \Rightarrow (\partial_0 \gamma^0)^+ = \partial_0 \gamma^0$$
  

$$(\partial_{1,2,3})^+ = -\partial_{1,2,3} \Rightarrow (\partial_{1,2,3} \gamma^{1,2,3})^+ = \partial_{1,2,3} \gamma^{1,2,3}$$
  

$$\Rightarrow (\partial)^+ = \partial \qquad (39)$$

Therefore, the Dirac Equation can be written as,

$$\gamma^{0}\psi^{+}\left(-i\overleftarrow{\partial}-m\right) = 0 \Rightarrow \bar{\psi}\left(-i\overleftarrow{\partial}-m\right) = 0 \tag{40}$$

Now let us take care of the 4-current. To prove it is conserved, what we need is to prove  $\partial_{\mu}j^{\mu} = 0$  (below we have used Eq.(38) and the Dirac Equation):

$$\partial_{\mu}j^{\mu} = \partial_{\mu}\left(\bar{\psi}\gamma^{\mu}\psi\right) = \left(\partial_{\mu}\bar{\psi}\right)\gamma^{\mu}\psi + \bar{\psi}\gamma^{\mu}\left(\partial_{\mu}\psi\right) = \left(\partial\bar{\psi}\right)\psi + \bar{\psi}\left(\partial\psi\right) = 0 \quad (41)$$

Another way for this problem, is to use the Lagrangian Density  $\mathcal{L} = i\bar{\psi}\partial\psi - m\bar{\psi}\psi$ , and use the equations of motion,

$$\partial_{\mu} \left( \frac{\delta L}{\delta \partial_{\mu} \psi} \right) - \frac{\delta L}{\delta \psi} = 0 \qquad \partial_{\mu} \left( \frac{\delta L}{\delta \partial_{\mu} \bar{\psi}} \right) - \frac{\delta L}{\delta \bar{\psi}} = 0 \tag{42}$$

We can also reach the same conclusion.

2. If the spinor satisfies Dirac Equation,  $(i\partial \!\!\!/ -m)\psi = 0$ ,

$$(i\partial \!\!\!/ + m) (i\partial \!\!\!/ - m) \psi = 0 \Rightarrow (i\partial \!\!\!/ \cdot i\partial \!\!\!/ - m^2) \psi = 0$$
(43)

The first term above turns out to be:

$$\partial \cdot \partial = \partial_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma^{\nu} = \partial_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} \left( \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \gamma^{\mu}, \gamma^{\nu} \right\} + \frac{1}{2} \left[ \gamma^{\mu}, \gamma^{\nu} \right] \right)$$

$$\partial \cdot \partial = \partial_{\nu} \partial_{\mu} \gamma^{\nu} \gamma^{\mu} = \partial_{\nu} \partial_{\mu} \left( \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \gamma^{\nu}, \gamma^{\mu} \right\} + \frac{1}{2} \left[ \gamma^{\nu}, \gamma^{\mu} \right] \right)$$

$$(44)$$

Sum these two equations up, use the symmetric property for  $\{\gamma^{\mu}, \gamma^{\nu}\}$  and anti-symmetric for  $[\gamma^{\mu}, \gamma^{\nu}]$ ,

$$2\partial \cdot \partial = \partial_{\mu}\partial_{\nu} \left\{ \gamma^{\mu}, \gamma^{\nu} \right\} = 2\partial_{\mu}\partial_{\nu}g^{\mu\nu} = 2\left(\partial_{0}^{2} - \partial_{1}^{2} - \partial_{2}^{2} - \partial_{3}^{2}\right) = 2\partial^{2}$$
(45)

Therefore, the spinor satisfies Klein-Gordon Equation:

$$\left(\partial^2 + m^2\right)\psi = 0\tag{46}$$

3. (a) Use the properties of gamma matrices,

$$\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \{\gamma^{\mu}, \gamma^{\nu}\} + \frac{1}{2} [\gamma^{\mu}, \gamma^{\nu}] = g^{\mu\nu} - i\sigma^{\mu\nu}$$
(47)

Thus the inner product of  ${\mathbb A}{\mathbb B}$  is,

$$\mathcal{AB} = A_{\mu}B_{\nu}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{\nu} = A_{\mu}B_{\nu}\left(g^{\mu\nu} - i\sigma^{\mu\nu}\right) = A \cdot B - i\sigma_{\mu\nu}A^{\mu}B^{\nu} \tag{48}$$

(b) The trace take over the spinor indices, thus

$$Tr\left(\mathcal{AB}\right) = Tr\left(A_{\mu}B_{\nu}g^{\mu\nu} - i\sigma_{\mu\nu}A^{\mu}B^{\nu}\right) = A_{\mu}B_{\nu}g^{\mu\nu}Tr\left(1_{4}\right) = 4A \cdot B \qquad (49)$$

(c) Use  $\frac{1}{2} \{ \gamma^{\mu}, \gamma^{\nu} \} = g^{\mu\nu}$ ,

$$\gamma^{\lambda}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_{\lambda} = \gamma^{\lambda}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{\lambda}g_{\lambda\lambda} = 2g^{\lambda\mu}\gamma^{\lambda}g_{\lambda\lambda} - \gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{\lambda}\gamma^{\lambda}g_{\lambda\lambda}$$
(50)

Since

$$\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{\lambda}\gamma^{\lambda}g_{\lambda\lambda} = 4\gamma^{\mu}, \quad g^{\lambda\mu}g_{\lambda\lambda} = g^{\mu}_{\lambda} = \delta^{\mu}_{\lambda}$$
 (51)

Therefore we have

$$\gamma^{\lambda}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_{\lambda} = 2\gamma^{\mu} - 4\gamma^{\mu} = -2\gamma^{\mu} \tag{52}$$

# 4 Transformation Properties of Field Bilinears in the Dirac Theory

(a) The spinor transformation is,  $\psi'(x') = S(\Lambda)\psi(x)$ , with  $S(\Lambda) = \exp\left(-\frac{i}{4}\sigma_{\mu\nu}\omega^{\mu\nu}\right)$ , therefore,

$$S(\Lambda)^{+} = \left[\exp\left(-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{4}\sigma_{\mu\nu}\omega^{\mu\nu}\right)\right]^{+} = \exp\left(\frac{\mathrm{i}}{4}\left(\omega^{\mu\nu}\right)^{+}\sigma_{\mu\nu}^{+}\right) = \exp\left(\frac{\mathrm{i}}{4}\omega^{\mu\nu}\sigma_{\mu\nu}^{+}\right) (53)$$

Note  $(\omega^{\mu\nu})^+ = \omega^{\mu\nu}$  becasue  $\omega^{\mu\nu}$  is a number, and '+' has nothing to do with a number. Therefore, what we want to prove is,

$$\bar{\psi}'(x')\psi'(x') = \bar{\psi}(x)\left(\gamma^0\right)^{-1}S^+\gamma^0S\psi(x) = \bar{\psi}(x)\gamma^0S^+\gamma^0S\psi(x) \tag{54}$$

To prove the  $\gamma^0 S^+ \gamma^0 S = I$ , use the Taylor Series,

$$\gamma^0 S^+ \gamma^0 = \gamma^0 \left[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{i}{4} \omega^{\mu\nu} \sigma^+_{\mu\nu} \right)^n \right] \gamma^0 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{i}{4} \omega^{\mu\nu} \gamma^0 \sigma^+_{\mu\nu} \gamma^0 \right)^n \tag{55}$$

Since  $(\sigma_{\mu\nu})^+ = -\frac{i}{2} [\gamma^{\nu+}, \gamma^{\mu+}]$ , and  $(\gamma^0)^+ = \gamma^0, (\gamma^{1,2,3})^+ = -\gamma^{1,2,3}$ , we can prove the identity,

$$\gamma^{0} \left( \gamma^{\nu +} \gamma^{\mu +} - \gamma^{\mu +} \gamma^{\nu +} \right) \gamma^{0} = -\left[ \gamma^{\mu}, \gamma^{\nu} \right] \Rightarrow \gamma^{0} \sigma^{+}_{\mu\nu} \gamma^{0} = \sigma_{\mu\nu}$$
(56)

Therefore we reach the conclusion that,

$$\gamma^0 S^+ \gamma^0 = S^{-1} \Rightarrow \bar{\psi}'(x')\psi'(x') = \bar{\psi}(x)\psi(x)$$
(57)

(b) Continue from above,

$$\bar{\psi}'(x')\gamma_5\psi'(x') = \bar{\psi}(x)S^{-1}\gamma_5S\psi(x) = \bar{\psi}(x)S^{-1}i\gamma^0\gamma^1\gamma^2\gamma^3S\psi(x)$$
(58)

Use  $S^{-1}\gamma^{\mu}S\left(\Lambda^{-1}\right)^{\nu}_{\mu} = \gamma^{\nu}$ , or, equavalently  $S^{-1}\gamma^{\mu}S = \gamma^{\nu}\Lambda^{\mu}_{\nu}$ ,

$$S^{-1}i\gamma^{0}\gamma^{1}\gamma^{2}\gamma^{3}S = i\left(S^{-1}\gamma^{0}S\right)\left(S^{-1}\gamma^{1}S\right)\left(S^{-1}\gamma^{2}S\right)\left(S^{-1}\gamma^{3}S\right)$$
$$= i\left(\gamma^{\alpha}\Lambda_{\alpha}^{0}\right)\left(\gamma^{\beta}\Lambda_{\beta}^{1}\right)\left(\gamma^{\lambda}\Lambda_{\lambda}^{2}\right)\left(\gamma^{\delta}\Lambda_{\delta}^{3}\right)$$
$$= i\gamma^{\alpha}\gamma^{\beta}\gamma^{\lambda}\gamma^{\delta}\Lambda_{\alpha}^{0}\Lambda_{\beta}^{1}\Lambda_{\lambda}^{2}\Lambda_{\delta}^{3}$$
$$= i\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\lambda\delta}\gamma^{0}\gamma^{1}\gamma^{2}\gamma^{3}\Lambda_{\alpha}^{0}\Lambda_{\beta}^{1}\Lambda_{\lambda}^{2}\Lambda_{\delta}^{3}$$
$$= \gamma^{5}\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\lambda\delta}\Lambda_{\alpha}^{0}\Lambda_{\beta}^{1}\Lambda_{\lambda}^{2}\Lambda_{\delta}^{3} = \gamma^{5}\det\Lambda$$
(59)

This is what we need for this proof.

$$\bar{\psi}'(x')\gamma_5\psi'(x') = \bar{\psi}(x)\gamma_5\psi(x)\det\Lambda \tag{60}$$

(c) This is just the same as the proof in (b) problem:

$$\bar{\psi}'(x')\gamma^{\mu}\psi'(x') = \bar{\psi}(x)S^{-1}\gamma^{\mu}S\psi(x) = \bar{\psi}(x)\gamma^{\nu}\Lambda^{\mu}_{\nu}\psi(x) \tag{61}$$

(d) Again duplicate the process of (b),

$$\bar{\psi}'(x')\gamma_5\gamma^{\mu}\psi'(x') = \bar{\psi}(x)\left(S^{-1}\gamma_5S\right)\left(S^{-1}\gamma^{\mu}S\right)\psi(x) = \bar{\psi}(x)\gamma_5\gamma^{\nu}\psi(x)\Lambda^{\mu}_{\nu}\det\Lambda (62)$$

(e) The proof for a combination of  $\gamma^{\mu}$  matrices is the same as that of one  $\gamma^{\mu}$  matrix:

$$\bar{\psi}'(x')\sigma^{\mu\nu}\psi'(x') = \bar{\psi}(x)\left(S^{-1}\frac{i}{2}\left(\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{\nu} - \gamma^{\nu}\gamma^{\mu}\right)S\right)\psi(x)$$
$$= \bar{\psi}(x)\left(\frac{i}{2}\left(\gamma^{\alpha}\gamma^{\beta} - \gamma^{\beta}\gamma^{\alpha}\right)\right)\psi(x)\Lambda^{\mu}_{\alpha}\Lambda^{\nu}_{\beta} = \bar{\psi}(x)\sigma^{\mu\nu}\psi(x)\Lambda^{\mu}_{\alpha}\Lambda^{\nu}_{\beta} \tag{63}$$

## 5 Chiral Symmetry

1. Using the new form of slash operators in Chiral Representation, since:

$$\vec{\partial} = \partial_0 \gamma^0 - \vec{\partial} \cdot \vec{\gamma} \tag{64}$$

The new Dirac Equation is written as:

$$\begin{pmatrix} -mI & -i\partial_0 I - i\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\partial} \\ -i\partial_0 I + i\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\partial} & -mI \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \phi \\ \chi \end{pmatrix} = 0$$
(65)

or, equavalently,

$$-m\phi - \left(i\partial_0 I + i\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\partial}\right)\chi = 0$$
  
$$-m\chi - \left(i\partial_0 I - i\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\partial}\right)\phi = 0$$
 (66)

2. The massless Dirac Equation with m = 0, reduces to:

$$\left( \partial_0 I + \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\partial} \right) \chi = 0$$

$$\left( \partial_0 I - \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\partial} \right) \phi = 0$$
(67)

Hence  $\phi$  and  $\chi$  decouples. Let us denote  $\chi = (\chi_1, \chi_2)^{\mathrm{T}}$  and  $\phi = (\phi_1, \phi_2)^{\mathrm{T}}$ ,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \partial_0 + \partial_3 & \partial_1 - i\partial_2 \\ \partial_1 + i\partial_2 & \partial_0 - \partial_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \chi_1 \\ \chi_2 \end{pmatrix} = 0$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} -\partial_0 + \partial_3 & \partial_1 - i\partial_2 \\ \partial_1 + i\partial_2 & -\partial_0 - \partial_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \end{pmatrix} = 0$$
(68)

Plugging into the plane wave solution, i.e.,  $\chi_i = \chi_{i0} e^{ik_\mu x^\mu}$ ,  $\phi_i = \phi_{i0} e^{ip_\nu x^\nu}$ , the above two matrices are:

$$\begin{pmatrix} k_0 - k_3 & -k_1 + ik_2 \\ -k_1 - ik_3 & k_0 + k_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \chi_1 \\ \chi_2 \end{pmatrix} = 0$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} -p_0 - p_3 & -p_1 + ip_2 \\ -p_1 - ip_2 & -p_0 + p_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \end{pmatrix} = 0$$
(69)

Solving these two matrix equations, we get,

$$k_0^2 = \vec{k}^2, \quad p_0^2 = \vec{p}^2 \tag{70}$$

Therefore the dispersion relation is  $k_0 = \omega = \pm |\vec{k}|, p_0 = \omega = \pm |\vec{p}|$ . The relations between two components of that of 2-spinors are:

$$\chi_2 = \frac{k_0 - k_3}{k_1 - ik_2} \chi_1, \quad \phi_2 = \frac{-p_0 - p_3}{p_1 - ip_2} \phi_1 \tag{71}$$

By definition  $\phi$  lives in the upper part of the spinor wave function, and  $\gamma_5$  matrix acting on it resulting the +1 eigenvalue, and hence  $\phi$  wave has the +1 chirality. Similar argument shows  $\chi$  has the -1 chirality.

3. First of all let us calculate what  $e^{i\gamma_5\theta}$  is (to use Taylor Expansion):

$$e^{i\gamma_{5}\theta} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(i\theta)^{n}}{n!} (\gamma_{5})^{n} = \sum_{n=2k}^{\infty} \frac{(i\theta)^{2k}}{(2k)!} + \sum_{n=2k+1}^{\infty} \frac{(i\theta)^{2k+1}}{(2k+1)!} \gamma_{5} = \cos\theta + i\gamma_{5}\sin\theta (72)$$

Write in the matrix form:

$$e^{i\gamma_5\theta} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\theta} & 0\\ 0 & e^{-i\theta} \end{pmatrix}$$
(73)

(a)  $\phi$  and  $\chi$  transforms as:

$$\begin{pmatrix} e^{i\theta} & 0\\ 0 & e^{-i\theta} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \phi\\ \chi \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\theta}\phi\\ e^{-i\theta}\chi \end{pmatrix}$$
(74)

(b) By definition  $\bar{\psi} = \psi^+ \gamma^0$ ,  $\psi'^+ = \psi^+ e^{-i\gamma_5\theta}$ , we get  $\bar{\psi}' = \bar{\psi}\gamma^0 e^{-i\gamma_5\theta}\gamma^0 = \bar{\psi}e^{i\gamma_5\theta}$ .

(c) Using the conclusions obtained above,  $\bar{\psi}'\psi' = \bar{\psi}e^{2i\gamma_5\theta}\psi;$ 

$$\bar{\psi}'\gamma^{\mu}\psi' = \bar{\psi}e^{i\gamma_{5}\theta}\gamma^{\mu}e^{i\gamma_{5}\theta}\psi \tag{75}$$

Since,

$$\begin{pmatrix} e^{i\theta} & 0\\ 0 & e^{-i\theta} \end{pmatrix} \gamma^{\mu} \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\theta} & 0\\ 0 & e^{-i\theta} \end{pmatrix} = \gamma^{\mu}$$
(76)

We find the quantity is conserved,  $\bar{\psi}'\gamma^{\mu}\psi' = \bar{\psi}\gamma^{\mu}\psi$ .

(d) The form of Dirac Equation is written in Eq.(64). If  $m \neq 0$ , the coupling between  $\phi$  and  $\chi$  is non-zero. However, under a Chiral Transformation  $\phi$  and  $\chi$  rotate in the opposite angle, Dirac Equation cannot be invariant. Recall Eq.(64), if the Dirac Equation acts on the transformed spinor wave function,

$$\begin{pmatrix} -m_{11}I & -i\partial_0 I - i\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\partial} \\ -i\partial_0 I + i\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\partial} & -m_{22}I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\theta}\phi \\ e^{-i\theta}\chi \end{pmatrix} = 0$$
$$\Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} -m_{11}Ie^{2i\theta} & -i\partial_0 I - i\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\partial} \\ -i\partial_0 I + i\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\partial} & -m_{22}Ie^{-2i\theta} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \phi \\ \chi \end{pmatrix} = 0$$
(77)

The mass term breaks the Chiral Symmetry, the newly defined mass is,

$$m \begin{pmatrix} Ie^{-2i\theta} & 0\\ 0 & Ie^{2i\theta} \end{pmatrix} = me^{-2i\gamma_5\theta}$$
(78)











ŧ





