

Commission.

†Work performed under the auspices of the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission under Contract No. AT(11-1) 1764.

‡Present address: Niels Bohr Institute, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark.

¹S. K. Penny and G. R. Satchler, Nucl. Phys. **53**, 145 (1964).

²T. Tamura, Rev. Mod. Phys. **37**, 679 (1965).

³S. K. Penny, thesis, University of Tennessee, 1966 (unpublished); Y. Dupont and M. Chambre, Phys. Lett. **26B**, 362 (1968).

⁴R. J. Ascutto and N. K. Glendenning, Phys. Rev. **181**, 1396 (1969).

⁵R. J. Ascutto and N. K. Glendenning, Lawrence Radiation Laboratory Report No. UCRL-19574, 1970 (unpublished); N. K. Glendenning, in *Proceedings of the International Conference on Properties of Nuclear States*, edited by M. Harvey *et al.* (Les Presses de L'Université de Montréal, Montréal, Canada, 1969), p. 245.

⁶R. A. Broglia, C. Riedel, and T. Udagawa, Nucl. Phys. **A135**, 561 (1969); K. Kubo, R. A. Broglia,

C. Riedel, and T. Udagawa, Phys. Lett. **32B**, 29 (1970).

⁷G. R. Satchler, Nucl. Phys. **55**, 1 (1964).

⁸D. Brawnschweig, T. Tamura, and T. Udagawa, to be published.

⁹B. F. Bayman and A. Kallio, Phys. Rev. **156**, 1121 (1966).

¹⁰T. Tamura, ORNL Report No. ORNL-4152, 1967 (unpublished).

¹¹N. Hintz and M. Oothoudt, private communication.

¹²D. G. Burke, B. Zeidman, B. Elbek, B. Herskind, and M. Olesen, Kgl. Dan. Vidensk., Mat.-Fys. Medd. **35**, No. 2 (1960); R. R. Chasman, Nucl. Phys. **89**, 11 (1966).

¹³H. E. Duchworth, R. C. Baker, and P. van Rookhuyzen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **23**, 592 (1969).

¹⁴P. H. Stelson and L. Grodzins, Nucl. Data A **1**, 21 (1967).

¹⁵They are the DWBA parameters of Table I.

¹⁶D. L. Hendrie, N. K. Glendenning, B. G. Barvey, O. N. Jarvis, H. H. Duhm, J. Sandinos, and J. Mahoney, Phys. Lett. **26B**, 127 (1968).

¹⁷E. R. Flynn, D. D. Armstrong, J. G. Perry, and A. G. Blair, Phys. Rev. **182**, 1113 (1969).

Effect of the Earth's Revolution Around the Sun on the Proposed Gyroscope Test of the Lense-Thirring Effect

B. M. Barker and R. F. O'Connell

Department of Physics and Astronomy, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70803

(Received 6 April 1970)

We analyze the proposed Stanford experiment (precession of the spin of a gyroscope in an Earth satellite) to test the Lense-Thirring effect. We show that the sun also makes a contribution to the precession which must be included, particularly if one wishes to distinguish between the Einstein and Brans-Dicke theories.

Modern technology is making possible new tests of Einstein's general theory of relativity. One of these is Schiff's^{1,2} proposed gyroscope experiment. Everitt and Fairbank³ and Fairbank⁴ expect to carry out this experiment in the near future by launching a satellite containing two pairs of superconducting gyroscopes into a polar orbit around the Earth; the spin of one pair (gyro No. 1) will be parallel to the Earth's axis and the spin of the other pair (gyro No. 2) will be perpendicular to the plane of the orbit.²⁻⁴ Not only is this test capable of distinguishing⁵ between the gravitational theories of Einstein and of Brans and Dicke⁶ (BD), but it is the only experiment which is sensitive to the off-diagonal terms in the metric tensor. The latter terms result from the Earth's rotation and were calculated by Lense and Thirring⁷ soon after Einstein's work.

The angular velocity of precession of the spin axis \vec{S} of a gyroscope in Einstein theory, $\vec{\Omega}_E$ say, may be written as^{1,2,5}

$$\vec{\Omega}_E = \vec{\Omega}_T + \vec{\Omega}_{DS} + \vec{\Omega}_{LT} + \vec{\Omega}_Q, \quad (1)$$

where $\vec{\Omega}_T$, $\vec{\Omega}_{DS}$, $\vec{\Omega}_{LT}$, and $\vec{\Omega}_Q$ are the so-called Thomas, de Sitter, Lense-Thirring, and quadrupole-moment^{8,9} contributions, respectively. From henceforth, we will regard the $\vec{\Omega}$'s as being averaged over a period of the motion. It is possible to have $\vec{\Omega}_T$ essentially zero¹ by putting the gyroscope in a satellite. The importance of selecting a polar orbit results from the fact that $\vec{\Omega}_{DS}$ and $\vec{\Omega}_{LT}$ are at right angles¹⁻⁴ for such an orbit. For definiteness, consider the Earth's angular velocity to be in the z direction and the polar orbit to be in the xz plane so that the orbital angular momentum of the satellite points in the y direction. Then $\vec{\Omega}_{DS}$ lies along y and $\vec{\Omega}_{LT}$

along z . Thus gyro No. 1 (with spin along z) will be affected only by $\vec{\Omega}_{DS}$, and gyro No. 2 (with spin along y) only by $\vec{\Omega}_{LT}$. Since we are interested here in a test of the Lense-Thirring effect, henceforth we consider only gyro No. 2.

The magnitude¹⁰ of $\vec{\Omega}_{LT}$ for a satellite in a circular polar orbit 300 miles above the Earth is 43.8×10^{-3} sec/yr (at this altitude the magnitude of $\vec{\Omega}_{DS}$ is the oft-quoted value of 7.0 sec/yr). Using BD theory, this value is reduced⁵ by a factor of $\frac{1}{16}$, i.e., to 2.7×10^{-3} sec/yr. As before,⁵ we take $\omega = 6$, where ω is the dimensionless coupling constant which appears in BD theory.⁶ This is the usual value taken for ω but of course it is a trivial matter to calculate the various quantities for different ω values. Thus to distinguish between the Einstein and BD theories the experiment should be capable of measuring such small precession angles. In fact, measurement accurate to 10^{-3} sec/yr will be possible⁴ by use of the London moment readout technique. The question we wish to consider here is whether there are any perturbations of magnitude greater than 10^{-3} sec/yr along the z axis, in addition to $\vec{\Omega}_{LT}$. With regard to $\vec{\Omega}_Q$, this contribution turns out to be in the same direction as $\vec{\Omega}_{DS}$ for a polar orbit⁹ (though this is not true in general⁹) and thus it has no component along z .

Consider now the precession of the gyroscope due to its journey in space around the sun. The only contribution of significance is the de Sitter contribution due to the sun, which we call $\vec{\Omega}_{DS}^S$. The magnitude of this term is easily shown to be 19.2×10^{-3} sec/yr! Since the Earth's equator is inclined at an angle θ of 23.44° to the ecliptic, the z component is $0.917 \vec{\Omega}_{DS}^S$, i.e., 17.6×10^{-3} sec/yr. It is more than 6 times as large as the difference between the Lense-Thirring contributions arising from the Einstein and BD theories and 17.6 times larger than what can be measured! It is important to note that this de Sitter contribution due to the sun is different⁵ in Einstein and BD theories. Thus the z components of the total $\vec{\Omega}$, in both Einstein¹ ($\Omega_{E,z}$) and BD⁵ ($\Omega_{BD,z}$) theories, are

$$\Omega_{E,z} = \Omega_{LT} + \cos\theta \Omega_{DS}^S = 61.4 \times 10^{-3} \text{ sec/yr} \quad (2)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{BD,z} &= \frac{15}{16} \Omega_{LT} + \frac{11}{12} (\cos\theta \Omega_{DS}^S) \\ &= 57.2 \times 10^{-3} \text{ sec/yr.} \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

It should be noted that $\vec{\Omega}_{DS}^S$ also has a component in the x direction, to which gyro No. 2 is responsive. The magnitude of this component is $\sin\theta \cos u \Omega_{DS}^S$, i.e., $7.64 \times 10^{-3} \cos u$ sec/yr, where u is the angle between the autumnal equinox and the y direction. In conclusion, we note that it is important that the satellite orbit should not deviate from the polar axis by more than $30''$ since, for deviations greater than this, $\vec{\Omega}_{DS}$ (which we saw above has the relatively large magnitude of about $7''/\text{yr}$) will contribute more than 10^{-3} sec/yr to the precession of gyro No. 2.

¹L. I. Schiff, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U. S. 46, 871 1960, and in *Proceedings on the Theory of Gravitation, Jablonna, Poland, 1962*, edited by L. Infeld (Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1964), p. 71.

²L. I. Schiff, in *Proceedings of the Fifth International Conference on Gravitation and the Theory of Relativity, Tbilisi, U. S. S. R. (to be published)*.

³C. W. F. Everitt and W. M. Fairbank, in *Proceedings of the Tenth International Conference on Low Temperature Physics, Moscow, U. S. S. R., 1966* (Viniti, Moscow, 1967), Vol. 2B, p. 337.

⁴W. M. Fairbank, London Award Lecture, in *Proceedings of the Eleventh International Conference on Low Temperature Physics, St. Andrews, Scotland, 1968*, edited by J. F. Allen, D. M. Finlayson, and D. M. McCall (St. Andrews Univ., St. Andrews, Scotland, 1969), Vol. 1, pp. 14 and 15.

⁵R. F. O'Connell, Phys. Rev. Lett. 20, 69 (1968).

⁶C. Brans and R. H. Dicke, Phys. Rev. 124, 925 (1961).

⁷J. Lense and H. Thirring, Phys. Z. 19, 156 (1918); N. St. Kalitzin, Nuovo Cimento 9, 365 (1958).

⁸R. F. O'Connell, Astrophys. Space Sci. 4, 119 (1969).

⁹R. F. O'Connell, Lett. Nuovo Cimento 1, 933 (1969).

¹⁰The value of $\vec{\Omega}_{LT}$ is usually calculated by assuming that the Earth is homogeneous in density. However, for our present purposes, it is necessary to use a value for the angular momentum of the Earth which is based on a more realistic mass distribution for the Earth [see C. W. Allen, *Astrophysical Quantities* (Athlone, London, 1963), 2nd ed., p. 108]. This is a significant modification because it reduces $\vec{\Omega}_{LT}$ by about 17% which is considerably larger than the approximately 6% difference between the Einstein and Brans-Dicke predictions, the quantity which the experiment proposes to measure.

¹¹Allen, Ref. 10.