Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

Electron interaction with the spin angular momentum of the electromagnetic field

This content has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text.

2017 J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 50 085306

(http://iopscience.iop.org/1751-8121/50/8/085306)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details:

IP Address: 130.39.180.108 This content was downloaded on 01/03/2017 at 19:52

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

You may also be interested in:

Topological force and torque in spin-orbit coupling system F. J. Huang, R. Qi, Y. D. Li et al.

Foldy--Wouthuysen transformation, scalar potentials and gravity U D Jentschura and J H Noble

Spin--orbit coupling and the conservation of angular momentum V Hnizdo

Spin precession of a particle with an electric dipole moment: contributions from classical electrodynamics and from the Thomas effect Alexander J Silenko

Rotating fields and particle-like states of electron-positron systems P F Browne

Radiation reaction, renormalization and conservation laws in 6D Yu Yaremko

Mathisson--Papapetrou equations in metric and gauge theories of gravity M Leclerc

Spin-orbit forces in P-wave baryons from a three-quark flux-tube potential I J Ford

Electron spin couplings in ion-atom collisions M D'Souza and A Kumar

J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 50 (2017) 085306 (5pp)

doi:10.1088/1751-8121/aa5575

# Electron interaction with the spin angular momentum of the electromagnetic field

# **R F O'Connell**

Department of Physics and Astronomy, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA 70803-4001, United States

#### E-mail: oconnell@phys.lsu.edu

Received 30 May 2016, revised 18 December 2016 Accepted for publication 22 December 2016 Published 20 January 2017



# Abstract

We give a simple derivation and expansion of a recently proposed new relativistic interaction between the electron and the spin angular momentum of the electromagnetic field in quantum electrodynamics (QED). Our derivation is based on the work of Møller, who pointed out that, in special relativity, a particle with spin must always have a finite extension. After generalizing Møller's classical result to include both rotation and quantum effects, we show that it leads to a new contribution to the energy, which is the special relativistic interaction term. In addition, we show that all relativistic terms involving spin terms arising from the Dirac equation may be obtained by this method.

Keywords: angular momentum of electromagnetic field, spin effects, Møller interaction

A new relativistic interaction term in quantum electrodynamics (QED), which, in particular, couples the spin angular momentum of light and the election spin, was initially proposed on the basis of symmetry arguments [1] and verified in a complex calculation involving the Dirac equation and the Foldy–Wouthuysen transformation [2]. In many ways, this is a surprising result, since it did not appear explicitly in the avalanche of work on QED following Dirac's work. Moreover, since the orbital momentum properties of light are now of much interest, we are motivated to provide a simple derivation and physical explanation. In addition, we show how this result may be written in a revealing manner as an interaction between the magnetic moment of the electron and the magnetic moment of the electron may be obtained by this method.

Our derivation is based on the work of Møller [3], who pointed out that, in special relativity, a particle with structure and spin *S* (its angular momentum vector in the rest system  $K^{(0)}$ ) must always have a finite extension and that there is a '... difference  $\Delta r$  between the simultaneous positions of the center of mass in its own rest system  $K^{(0)}$  and system *K* (obtained from  $K^{(0)}$  by a Lorentz transformation with velocity v)...'. After generalizing Møller's result to include both rotation (see (6) below) and quantum effects (see (7) below), we derive what we call 'hidden energy', which is the new relativistic interaction term, in addition to the usual spin–orbit interaction term.

We refer to  $\Delta \mathbf{r}$  as a hidden position and it is given by [3]

$$\Delta \boldsymbol{r} = \frac{\boldsymbol{S} \times \boldsymbol{v}}{mc^2}.$$
(1)

As we have previously shown [4], if we take the time derivative of  $\Delta \mathbf{r}$ , we obtain the hidden velocity  $\Delta \mathbf{v}$ . Next, we work to order  $c^{-2}$  (as in [1, 2]), so that we can neglect the very small second order terms, which arise in the relation between the hidden velocity and the hidden momentum, as well as in the time dependence of the spin, to obtain an expression for the hidden momentum

$$\Delta \mathbf{p} = \frac{\mathbf{S} \times \mathbf{a}}{c^2} = \frac{\mathbf{S} \times \mathbf{F}}{mc^2},\tag{2}$$

where

$$\mathbf{p} = m\mathbf{v},\tag{3}$$

*a* is the acceleration, and *F* is the external force acting on the particle. The existence of hidden momentum is now a well-documented phenomenon in electromagnetism [5], but it also plays an important role in the general relativistic treatment of spinning particles [6, 7]. However, it should be emphasized that equation (2) is not unique in the sense that it depends on the coordinate system chosen and, in the coordinate system used in the derivation of the Dirac equation [6, 7],  $\Delta \mathbf{p}$  is -1/2 of the result given in (2). In essence, this is related to the fact that, in special relativity, spin is described by a second-rank tensor  $S_{\alpha\beta} = -S_{\beta\alpha}$  or by an axial 4-vector  $S^{\mu}$  which reduces to the 3-vector S when the particle velocity is zero.

Explicitly,

$$S_{\alpha} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \in_{\alpha\beta\sigma\tau} S^{\beta\sigma} U^{\tau},\tag{4}$$

and

$$S^{\alpha\beta} = \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\sigma\tau} S_{\sigma} U_{\tau}.$$
(5)

The relation between  $S_{\alpha\beta}$  and  $S^{\mu}$  is not unique [8] but depends on the choice of the so-called spin supplementary condition, which in turn depends on the coordinate system chosen. Popular choices are  $S^{\alpha\beta}U_{\beta} = 0$  and  $S^{\alpha\beta}p_{\beta} = 0$ , where  $U_{\beta}$  and  $p_{\beta}$  are 4-velocity and 4-momentum vectors. However, as is clear from [6], these choices are not suitable for treating an accelerating particle, and, instead, we introduced a new supplementary condition, given in (6) below, which we showed to be consistent with Dirac's coordinate system. In addition, we showed that our new choice was the basis for explaining the difference in results obtained by Schiff [9] and by Barker and O'Connell [6]. In fact, Schiff's choice corresponded to the  $\Delta r$  given in (2) whereas Barker and O'Connell [7], using Dirac's coordinate system, obtained  $-\Delta r/2$ . The factor of 2 provides an important clue when we recall the famous factor of 2 that arose from the Thomas precession (rotation) after he took into account the extra Lorentz transformation arising from acceleration. Since acceleration always plays a key role, the popular choices mentioned above refer to non-inertial frames of reference and, as we showed in [7], Dirac's choice (essentially what Jackson [10] refers to as the non-rotating frame) actually corresponds to the choice of an inertial frame given by

$$2S^{i4} + S^{ij}U_j = 0, (6)$$

which incorporates the Thomas spin–orbit contribution [10]. On the other hand, Møller's choice,  $S^{\alpha\beta}U_{\beta} = 0$ , corresponds to the choice of the rest frame of the electron (a non-inertial frame) and thus the quantum generalization does not include the Thomas contribution.

Furthermore, the results of [6] were based on a potential derived from a one-graviton exchange between two Dirac particles, from which the classical spin precession results were obtained by letting  $\frac{1}{2}\hbar\sigma \rightarrow S$ . Thus, it is clear that, in the Dirac coordinate system, the quantum generalizations of equations (1) and (2) are

$$\Delta \boldsymbol{r} = -\frac{\hbar}{2} \frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma} \times \boldsymbol{v}}{2mc^2},\tag{7}$$

and

$$\Delta \boldsymbol{P} = -\frac{\hbar}{2} \frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma} \times \boldsymbol{a}}{2c^2},\tag{8}$$

where P is the canonical momentum, given by

$$\boldsymbol{P} = \boldsymbol{p} + \boldsymbol{e}\boldsymbol{A},\tag{9}$$

and where A is the vector potential of the electromagnetic field.

Here, we wish to apply the above expressions to incorporate spin effects into the non-relativistic quantum Hamiltonian for a point structureless particle, in a potential  $V(\mathbf{r})$ , with charge e, interacting with the electromagnetic field, given by [11]

$$H = \frac{1}{2m} (\boldsymbol{P} - e\boldsymbol{A})^2 + V(\boldsymbol{r}).$$
(10)

We also use the Coulomb (radiation) gauge, which is a common choice [11]. Thus, introducing spin effects via the above equations implies that, to order  $c^{-2}$ ,

$$\Delta H = -e\mathbf{A} \cdot \frac{\Delta \mathbf{P}}{m} + \mathbf{P} \cdot \frac{\Delta \mathbf{P}}{m} + \Delta V(\mathbf{r}).$$
(11)

Thus,

$$\Delta H = -e\mathbf{A} \cdot \frac{\Delta \mathbf{P}}{m} + \mathbf{P} \cdot \frac{\Delta \mathbf{P}}{m} - \frac{\mathrm{d}V}{\mathrm{d}r} (\Delta \mathbf{r} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}}).$$
(12)

Using (7), we see that

$$-\frac{dV}{dr}(\Delta \boldsymbol{r} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{r}}) = \frac{\hbar}{4mc^2} \frac{1}{r} \frac{dV}{dr} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot (\boldsymbol{r} \times \boldsymbol{\nu})$$
$$= \frac{1}{2m^2c^2} \frac{1}{r} \frac{dV}{dr} (\boldsymbol{S} \cdot \boldsymbol{L}),$$
(13)

where L is the angular momentum of the electron. There is a very familiar result [10, 11] for the spin–orbit interaction.

Next, turning to the first term in (12) and using (8), we obtain

$$\Delta H_1 \equiv -e\boldsymbol{A} \cdot \Delta \boldsymbol{P}/m = \frac{e\hbar}{4mc^2} \boldsymbol{A} \cdot (\boldsymbol{\sigma} \times \boldsymbol{a}).$$
(14)

Taking a = (eE/m), where E is the electric field (but noting that the external force may be generalized to include magnetic contributions) and rearranging the cross product, we obtain our basic result

$$\Delta H_{\rm I} = \frac{e^2 \hbar}{4m^2 c^2} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot (\boldsymbol{E} \times \boldsymbol{A}). \tag{15}$$

The expectation value of  $\Delta H_1$  is the hidden energy. It will be recognized that this term is exactly the same as the  $H_{AME}$  interaction term of Mondal *et al*, which all their many applications are based on. Further physical insight is obtained by recognizing that  $(E \times A)$  is the spin angular momentum density of the electromagnetic field [12]. Thus, the corresponding magnetic moment  $M_{em}$  is (e/2mc)  $(E \times A)$ , and recalling that the magnetic moment of the electron  $M_e$  is  $(e\hbar/2mc) \sigma$ , we see that

$$\Delta H_1 = \boldsymbol{M}_{\rm em} \cdot \boldsymbol{M}_{\rm e}. \tag{16}$$

In summary, Møller's special relativistic hidden position, extended to include rotation, leads to hidden momentum and hidden energy, whose quantum version leads to the usual spin–orbit term as well as a new expression for the interaction of the electron spin with the spin angular momentum of the electromagnetic field. Finally, using (8), we see that the second term in (11) may be written as

$$\Delta H_2 = -\hbar \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot (\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{P}) / 4mc^2 \tag{17}$$

a result obtained by Hehl and Ni [13] in a tour-de-force calculation necessitating the use of three successive Foldy–Wouthuysen transformations. In the particular case where a = eE/m, we obtain the well-known result [14]

$$\Delta H_2 = -e\hbar\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot (\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{P})/4m^2c^2. \tag{18}$$

In conclusion, we have shown that all relativistic terms involving spin terms arising from the Dirac equation may be obtained from a rotation and quantum generalization of Møller's observation and, in particular, we have given an explicit expression for the electron interaction with the angular momentum of the electromagnetic field.

## Acknowledgments

This work was partially supported by the National Science Foundation under grant no. ECCS-1125675.

The author appreciates a discussion on [12] with Prof A Zangwill and comments from Prof P M Oppeneer. In addition, Mondal and Prof Oppeneer pointed out that my initial draft of the paper omitted the  $P \cdot \Delta P$  term. The author also appreciates a communication from Prof Hehl who informed me of his work with Ni in [13], which had already identified this new inertial spin–orbit coupling term. He is particularly grateful to Dr Paul Werbos, former NSF program manager, who was always receptive to the pursuit of projects 'outside the box' which had the potential to produce innovative results.

## References

- [1] Raeliarijaona A et al 2013 Phys. Rev. Lett. 110 137205
- [2] Mondal R et al 2015 Phys. Rev. B 92 100402
- [3] Møller C 1949 Commun. Dublin Inst. Adv. Stud. A5 1

Møller C 1972 The Theory of Relativity 2nd edn (Oxford: Oxford University Press) p 172

- [4] O' Connell R F 2015 Equations of motion in relativistic gravity Springer Fundamental Theories of Physics vol 179, ed D Puetzfeld et al (Berlin: Springer)
- [5] Griffiths D J 2012 Am. J. Phys. 80 7
- [6] Barker B M and O'Connell R F 1970 Phys. Rev. D 2 1428
- [7] Barker B M and O' Connell R F 1974 Gen. Relat. Gravit. 5 539
- [8] O' Connell R F 2010 Rotation and spin in physics General Relativity and John Archibald Wheeler ed I Ciufolini and R Matzner (Berlin: Springer)
- [9] Schiff L I 1960 Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. 46 871
- [10] Jackson J D 1998 Classical Electrodynamics 3rd edn (New York: Wiley)
- [11] Shankar R 1994 Principles of Quantum Mechanics 2nd edn (New York: Plenum) p 91, 500
- [12] Zangwill A 2013 Modern Electrodynamics (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press) p 578
- [13] Hehl F W and Ni W T 1990 *Phys. Rev.* D 42 2045
- [14] Bjorken J D and Drell S D 1964 Relativistic Quantum Mechanics (New York: McGraw-Hill) p 51