

Disentanglement and decoherence without dissipation at non-zero temperatures

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

2010 Phys. Scr. 82 038112

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/1402-4896/82/3/038112>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 130.39.183.95

The article was downloaded on 20/08/2010 at 15:59

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

Disentanglement and decoherence without dissipation at non-zero temperatures

G W Ford¹ and R F O'Connell²

¹ Department of Physics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109-1120, USA

² Department of Physics and Astronomy, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA 70803-4001, USA

E-mail: gwf@umich.edu

Received 14 May 2010

Accepted for publication 4 June 2010

Published 16 August 2010

Online at stacks.iop.org/PhysScr/82/038112

Abstract

Decoherence is well understood, in contrast with disentanglement. According to common lore, irreversible coupling to a dissipative environment is the mechanism for loss of entanglement. Here, we show that, on the contrary, disentanglement can in fact occur at large enough temperatures T even for vanishingly small dissipation (as we have shown previously for decoherence). However, whereas the effect of T on decoherence increases exponentially with time, the effect of T on disentanglement is constant for all times, reflecting a fundamental difference between the two phenomena. Also, the possibility of disentanglement at a particular T increases with decreasing initial entanglement.

PACS numbers: 03.65.Ta, 03.65.Ud, 03.65.Yz, 05.40.-a

Entanglement, which describes correlations between two or more particles or subsystems, is an essential characteristic of quantum mechanics and plays a key role in all applications related to information science [1–4]. But entanglement is poorly understood, so here we attempt to learn more about it by carrying out an exact calculation for the simplest non-trivial system and we will contrast our results with those from an analogous calculation that we have already carried out for decoherence. In common with decoherence (which can occur for just a single particle in a superposition state), entanglement can be destroyed by interaction with a dissipative heat bath. But, motivated by the fact that, as we have previously shown, decoherence can actually occur at non-zero temperatures T for vanishingly small dissipation [5, 6], our purpose here is to show how disentanglement is affected by T .

In a previous paper [7], which was concerned with comparison of entanglement measures, we considered an entangled system without a heat bath and at zero temperature. We now extend our analysis of this model to incorporate non-zero temperatures and we present an exact calculation showing that disentanglement can in fact occur in the absence of dissipation. As we emphasized previously [5, 6], the situation is like that for an ideal gas in that collisions (dissipation) are necessary to bring about an approach toward equilibrium but are so weak that they do not appear in the equation of state or in the velocity distribution.

Before proceeding, we should perhaps remark that our method contrasts with the usual master equation approaches where, in general, one starts with an initially uncoupled quantum state, say, a free particle. Thus, the free particle is essentially at zero temperature with no cognizance of even the zero-point oscillations of the electromagnetic field. In addition, the initial state of the heat bath is in equilibrium at some temperature T but not coupled to the free particle. Next, the free particle and heat bath are brought into contact and, as we have shown explicitly [11], the free particle receives an initial impulse with the result that the center of the wave packet drifts to the origin. However, since for a free particle the origin cannot be a special point, we see that the translational invariance of the problem is broken by the assumption that the initial state corresponds to an uncoupled system. This problem exists in the so-called ‘exact’ master equation formulations, which are exactly only in the sense that they incorporate time-dependent coefficients but suffer from the same defects as the more conventional master equations; in fact, the same results arise more easily from the use of the initial value Langevin equation, which enabled us to obtain solutions of these ‘exact’ master equations in a much more simplified form than one finds in the literature [11].

As in [7], we consider two free particles, each of mass m , at positions x_1 and x_2 , in an initially entangled Gaussian state, but we extend our previous analysis to allow both

particles 1 and 2 to have velocities v_1 and v_2 , respectively, which we will eventually take to be the random velocities associated with a bath at temperature T . Thus, we are dealing with a system with continuous degrees of freedom applicable to particle position or momenta or to the field modes of light (of interest in connection with linear optical quantum computing).

The most general initial Gaussian wave function that is symmetric in the two particles has the form

$$\psi(x_1, x_2; 0) = \frac{(a_{11}^2 - a_{12}^2)^{1/4}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{a_{11}x_1^2 + 2a_{12}x_1x_2 + a_{11}x_2^2}{4} + i\frac{m}{\hbar}(v_1x_1 + v_2x_2) \right\}. \quad (1)$$

In order that this state be square-integrable, we must of course assume that a_{11} is positive and that $a_{11}^2 - a_{12}^2 > 0$. With this wave function we find the following expressions for the initial correlations:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x_1^2(0) \rangle &= \langle x_2^2(0) \rangle = \frac{a_{11}}{a_{11}^2 - a_{12}^2}, \\ \langle x_1(0)x_2(0) \rangle &= -\frac{a_{12}}{a_{11}^2 - a_{12}^2}, \\ \langle p_1^2(0) \rangle &= m^2v_1^2 + \frac{\hbar^2}{4}a_{11}, \\ \langle p_2^2(0) \rangle &= m^2v_2^2 + \frac{\hbar^2}{4}a_{11}, \\ \langle p_1(0)p_2(0) \rangle &= \frac{\hbar^2}{4}a_{12}, \\ \frac{\langle x_1(0)p_1(0) + p_1(0)x_1(0) \rangle}{2} &= \frac{\langle x_2(0)p_2(0) + p_2(0)x_2(0) \rangle}{2} = 0, \\ \langle x_2(0)p_1(0) \rangle &= \langle x_1(0)p_2(0) \rangle = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

These results are standard quantum mechanics. Next, we consider an ensemble of particles in thermal equilibrium at a temperature T , and so we regard v_1 and v_2 as random velocities generated by thermal motion. Also, we consider that the particles are so weakly coupled to a heat bath that we can neglect dissipation in the equation of motion. In order to ensure that a normalizable thermal state exists for our field-free Hamiltonian, we first put the two particles in an oscillator potential and later take the limit of negligibly small oscillator frequency. Noting that the initial correlations have no linear terms in the velocities but simply have quadratic terms, we thus obtain the corresponding expressions by averaging over our thermal distribution of initial velocities such that

$$v_1^2 \rightarrow \frac{kT}{m}, \quad v_2^2 \rightarrow \frac{kT}{m}. \quad (3)$$

Using this in expressions (2), we have

$$\langle p_1^2(0) \rangle = \langle p_2^2(0) \rangle = mkT + \frac{\hbar^2}{4}a_{11}. \quad (4)$$

To obtain the time correlations at time t , we introduce the time-dependent (Heisenberg) operators

$$\begin{aligned} x_1(t) &= x_1(0) + \frac{p_1(0)}{m}t, & p_1(t) &= p_1(0), \\ x_2(t) &= x_2(0) + \frac{p_2(0)}{m}t, & p_2(t) &= p_2(0). \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

With this it is a simple matter to construct the correlations

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x_1^2(t) \rangle &= \frac{a_{11}}{a_{11}^2 - a_{12}^2} + \left(\frac{kT}{m} + \frac{\hbar^2}{4m^2}a_{11} \right) t^2, \\ \langle x_1(t)x_2(t) \rangle &= -\frac{a_{12}}{a_{11}^2 - a_{12}^2} + \frac{\hbar^2}{4m^2}a_{12}t^2, \\ \langle p_1^2(t) \rangle &= \langle p_2^2(t) \rangle = mkT + \frac{\hbar^2}{4}a_{11}, \\ \langle p_1(t)p_2(t) \rangle &= \frac{\hbar^2}{4}a_{12}, \\ \frac{\langle x_1(t)p_1(t) + p_1(t)x_1(t) \rangle}{2} &= \frac{\langle x_2(t)p_2(t) + p_2(t)x_2(t) \rangle}{2} \\ &= \left(\frac{\hbar^2}{4m}a_{11} + kT \right) t, \\ \langle x_2(t)p_1(t) \rangle &= \langle x_1(t)p_2(t) \rangle = \frac{\hbar^2}{4m}a_{12}t. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Next, we address the question of entanglement. Since we are dealing with a Gaussian state, we can use the necessary and sufficient condition of Duan *et al* [9]. A zero-mean Gaussian state is fully characterized by its second moments, which, for the symmetric case, can be represented by the following variance (correlation) matrix [9, 10]:

$$\mathbf{M} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{G} & \mathbf{C} \\ \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{G} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (7)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{G} &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\langle x_1^2(t) \rangle}{L^2} & \frac{\langle x_1(t)p_1(t) + p_1(t)x_1(t) \rangle}{2\hbar} \\ \frac{\langle x_1(t)p_1(t) + p_1(t)x_1(t) \rangle}{2\hbar} & \frac{L^2 \langle p_1^2(t) \rangle}{\hbar^2} \end{pmatrix}, \\ \mathbf{C} &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\langle x_1(t)x_2(t) \rangle}{L^2} & \frac{\langle x_1(t)p_2(t) \rangle}{\hbar} \\ \frac{\langle x_1(t)p_2(t) \rangle}{\hbar} & \frac{L^2 \langle p_2(t) \rangle}{\hbar^2} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

and L is a constant of dimension length introduced to make the matrix elements dimensionless.

In order to discuss entanglement, Duan *et al* perform a sequence of rotations and squeezes to bring \mathbf{M} to a form in which

$$\mathbf{G} = \begin{pmatrix} g & 0 \\ 0 & g \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{C} = \begin{pmatrix} c & 0 \\ 0 & c' \end{pmatrix}. \quad (9)$$

Since the determinants are invariant under these transformations, we have the following simple relations for determining the quantities g , c and c' in terms of these invariants:

$$\det \mathbf{G} = g^2, \quad \det \mathbf{C} = cc', \quad \det \mathbf{M} = (g^2 - c^2)(g^2 - c'^2). \quad (10)$$

With expressions (6) for the correlations, we find

$$\begin{aligned}\det \mathbf{G} &= \frac{\left(a_{11} + \frac{4mkT}{\hbar^2}\right) a_{11}}{4(a_{11}^2 - a_{12}^2)}, \\ \det \mathbf{C} &= -\frac{a_{12}^2}{4(a_{11}^2 - a_{12}^2)}, \\ \det \mathbf{M} &= \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{mkT}{\hbar^2(a_{11} - a_{12})}\right) \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{mkT}{\hbar^2(a_{11} + a_{12})}\right).\end{aligned}\quad (11)$$

Putting these in (10) and solving, we find

$$\begin{aligned}g &= \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\left(a_{11} + \frac{4mkT}{\hbar^2}\right) a_{11}}{(a_{11}^2 - a_{12}^2)}}, \\ c &= \frac{|a_{12}|}{2} \sqrt{\frac{a_{11} + \frac{4mkT}{\hbar^2}}{(a_{11}^2 - a_{12}^2) a_{11}}}, \\ c' &= -\frac{a_{11} |a_{12}|}{2\sqrt{(a_{11}^2 - a_{12}^2) \left(a_{11} + \frac{4mkT}{\hbar^2}\right) a_{11}}}.\end{aligned}\quad (12)$$

Duan *et al* have obtained a necessary and sufficient condition that a Gaussian state is separable. In terms of these quantities, their condition is equivalent to the inequality

$$(g - c)(g - c') \geq \frac{1}{4}. \quad (13)$$

With expressions (12), this becomes

$$\frac{a_{11} - |a_{12}| + \frac{4mkT}{\hbar^2}}{a_{11} + |a_{12}|} \geq 1, \quad (14)$$

so that

$$|a_{12}| \leq \frac{2mkT}{\hbar^2}. \quad (15)$$

It should be emphasized that this condition for distanglement is independent of time. This is in stark contrast with the corresponding result for decoherence where the temperature effect increases exponentially to the power of t^2 [5]. Moreover, if $|a_{12}| > 2mkT/\hbar^2$, the system remains entangled for all times.

Our conclusion is that whereas decoherence and disentanglement always occur for the same system in the presence of dissipation, this is not the case for negligible dissipation at temperatures such that $|a_{12}| > 2mkT/\hbar^2$, in which case decoherence still occurs but disentanglement does not. It is clear that they are very different phenomena but, whereas decoherence is well understood, the opposite is true for disentanglement.

Acknowledgment

This work was partially supported by the National Science Foundation under grant no. ECCS-0757204.

References

- [1] Knight P L and Scheel S 2005 *Quantum information Springer Handbook of Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics* ed W F Drake (Berlin: Springer)
- [2] Amico L, Fazio R, Osterloh A and Vedral V 2008 *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **80** 517
- [3] Vedral V 2008 *Nature* **453** 1004
- [4] Horodecki R *et al* 2009 *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **81** 865
- [5] Ford G W, Lewis J T and O'Connell R F 2001 *Phys. Rev. A* **64** 032101
- [6] Ford G W and O'Connell R F 2001 *Phys. Rev. A* **286** 87
- [7] Ford G W and O'Connell R F 2002 *Am. J. Phys.* **70** 319
- [8] Merzbacher E 1998 *Quantum Mechanics* 3rd edn (New York: Wiley)
- [9] Duan L-M *et al* 2000 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **84** 2722
- [10] Simon R 2000 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **84** 2726
- [11] Ford G W and O'Connell R F 2001 *Phys. Rev. D* **64** 105020